

# Amendment Tool

v1.6 06 December 2021

For office use

QC: No

## Section 1: Project information

Short project title*:	SOS Trial: Hyperosmolar therpay in traumatic brain injury			
IRAS project ID* (or REC reference if no IRAS project ID is available):	260350			
Sponsor amendment reference number*:	NSA 10			
Sponsor amendment date* (enter as DD/MM/YY):	08 August 2024			
Briefly summarise in lay language the main changes proposed in this amendment. Explain the purpose of the changes and their significance for the study. If the amendment significantly alters the research design or methodology, or could otherwise affect the scientific value of the study, supporting scientific information should be given (or enclosed separately). Indicate whether or not additional scientific critique has been obtained (note: this field will adapt to the amount of text entered)*:	Royal Preston require a temporary PI due to their current PI being on long-term sick leave.			
Project type (select):	Specific study			
	Research tissue bank Research database			
Has the study been reviewed by a UKECA-recognised Research Ethics Committee (REC) prior to this amendment?:	Yes		No	
What type of UKECA-recognised Research Ethics Committee (REC) review is applicable? (select):	NHS/HSC REC			
	Ministry of Defence (MoDREC)			
Is all or part of this amendment being resubmitted to the Research Ethics Committee (REC) as a <b>modified amendment</b> (i.e. a substantial amendment previously given an unfavourable opinion)?	Yes		No	
Where is the NHS/HSC Research Ethics Committee (REC) that reviewed the study based?:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
	Yes	No	No	No
Was the study a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product (CTIMP) OR does the amendment make it one?:	Yes		No	
EudraCT number*:	2019-001688-66			
Was this clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product (CTIMP) processed under the CTIMP combined review service (formerly known as the Combined Ways of Working (CWoW) pilot)?:	Yes		No	
Did the study receive Pharmacy Assurance?:	Yes		No	
Was the study a clinical investigation or other study of a medical device OR does the amendment make it one?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve the administration of radioactive substances, therefore requiring ARSAC review, OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve the use of research exposures to ionising radiation (not involving the administration of radioactive substances) OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve adults lacking capacity OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve access to confidential patient information outside the direct care team without consent OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve prisoners or young offenders who are in custody or supervised by the probation service OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve children OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve NHS/HSC organisations prior to this amendment?:	Yes		No	
Did the study involve non-NHS/HSC organisations OR does the amendment introduce them?:	Yes		No	
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Lead nation for the study:	Yes	No	No	No
Which nations had participating NHS/HSC organisations prior to this amendment?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



	REC	Com MHR	Com MHR	ARS	Radi	UKS	REC	CAG	HMP	HRA	REC	PBPI	SPS	Natio	HSC	HSC	Priso	Natio	Category:
Change 1:						(Y)				(Y)									B
Overall reviews for the amendment:																			
Full review:						N				N									
Notification only:						Y				Y									
Overall amendment type:	Non-substantial, no study-wide review required																		
Overall Category:	B																		